

Article



Building a Patient-Centered Weight Management Program: A Mixed Methods Project to Obtain Patients' Information Needs and Ideas for Program Structure

Austin Arnold^D, Erin Holmes and Meagen Rosenthal *^D

Department of Pharmacy Administration, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, P.O. Box 1848, Oxford, MS 38677, USA; adarnol1@go.olemiss.edu (A.A.); erholmes@olemiss.edu (E.H.)

* Correspondence: mmrosent@olemiss.edu; Tel.: +1-662-915-2475

Received: 6 September 2019; Accepted: 28 November 2019; Published: 3 December 2019



Abstract: Achieving and maintaining weight loss for large segments of the population remains elusive, despite evidence demonstrating the value of many weight management programs. This study aimed to gather patients' perceptions on weight management education needs, and ideas for the structure of a weight management program to be delivered in community pharmacies. This was an exploratory mixed methods study combining qualitative focus group interviews with a cross-sectional survey. Three focus group interviews were conducted, along with a brief survey based on focus groups findings and sent to all eligible participants. The survey allowed for individual responses on the program components and narrowing down of focus group findings. Nearly half of the respondents (45.9%) wanted further education on limiting carbohydrate and sugar intake. Participants were most interested in identifying different exercises appropriate for those with physical limitations (48.6%). Most participants preferred 1 h meetings (70.3%) that contain a mix of one-on-one and group meeting formats (67.6%). The results of the study suggest a three-month weight management program, with a combination of group and individual in-person meetings occurring twice per month, would be of most interest to patients.

Keywords: weight management; obesity; community-based participatory research; patient-centered programming; community pharmacy

1. Introduction

Obesity is a leading health concern around the world. A recently published study of body mass index (BMI) trends illustrates that for the first time in history there are now more people in the world who are overweight than underweight [1]. In fact, approximately 13% of the world's adult population has been diagnosed with obesity [2], and more than 30% of the United States' (US) adult population face the same diagnosis [3]. Furthermore, obesity is a major risk factor for chronic diseases such as heart disease, diabetes, high blood pressure, and cancer [2]. Consequently, obesity contributes to three of the top five highest reported causes of death in the US [4].

According to the September 2015 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Trust for American's Health report, rates of obesity now exceed 35% in five states: Mississippi, Louisiana, Alabama, Arkansas, and West Virginia [5]. Obesity and its complications also disproportionately impact minority populations with 48.1% of all African American adults and 42.5% of all Hispanic adults being diagnosed with obesity [6]. Given that these groups represent a significant proportion of the overall US population, developing targeted strategies to address the obesity epidemic is imperative [7].

Fortunately, there have been many studies that have led to the development of successful weight management programs, which can be implemented in a variety of practice settings [8]. One example



www.mdpi.com/journal/pharmacy

of such a program is the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), wherein patients participated in a 16-session intensive lifestyle intervention program with goal setting, nutrition and exercise counseling, and behavioral trouble-shooting [9]. Patients in this program lost a mean of 7 kg in the first year of the program, and were able to maintain their weight below baseline measures at the 10 year follow-up of the study [10]. Despite the volume of evidence for the negative outcomes of obesity and evidence of successful weight management programs, weight loss and weight loss maintenance for large segments of the population remain elusive. Every year approximately 45 million American adults attempt to lose weight [11]. A systematic review found that only 54% of patients who initially lost weight were able to maintain that loss [12]. Evidence also demonstrates that the more dramatic the initial weight loss, the more dramatic the subsequent weight re-gain [13], and this weight re-gain can begin within as little as 6 months of the initial weight loss [14].

Compounding this problem is a lack of access to the resources and information patients need to tackle and manage this condition. Research shows a significant decline since 1996 in the chances of patients receiving weight or diet/exercise counselling from physicians [15]. Importantly, this decrease was most pronounced in patients diagnosed with conditions such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity [15]. In short, individuals with chronic diseases who would benefit most from weight management counselling are not receiving it from their physician. Moreover, these access issues are worse in states like Mississippi where all but two of its 82 counties are designated rural [16], and the majority of the state is considered medically underserved [17].

Pharmacists are widely regarded by patients as being among the most trustworthy and accessible health care professionals [18]. A recent report from North Carolina revealed that high risk Medicaid patients visited community pharmacies 35 times in 2013, compared to only 3.5 visits to other primary care providers [19]. As such, pharmacists have more opportunities to engage with patients, especially in rural areas where other health services are often limited [20]. Patients have also shown willingness, and interest, in receiving weight management services from pharmacists in the community setting [21,22].

Moreover, the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education (ACPE) Standards requires pharmacists be trained to interpret laboratory results, perform health screenings, and provide basic physical assessments [23]. Pharmacists also learn how to design and implement national or community-based public health, wellness, and prevention programs [23]. This baseline knowledge can be easily paired with specific training on basic dietary counselling, physical activity guidance, and behavioral counseling prior to providing a weight management program [23]. Previous research evaluating such community pharmacy-led weight management programs demonstrated pharmacists' ability to assist patients with a mean weight loss of 3.8 kg across several studies [24–32].

Along with access issues, previous research into patients' perspectives of weight loss identified both barriers and facilitators. Some barriers include factors such as stress, depression, and food cravings, external barriers such as lack of time, and internal barriers such as lack of motivation [33]. A qualitative analysis of six focus group interviews with African American women revealed additional barriers including lack of access to resources, issues with self- and extrinsic control, and identification with a larger body size [34]. Other barriers included life transitions, health status change, lack of accountability, and an absence of social support [35]. Some facilitators from the patients' point of view included accountability to others, social support, planning ahead, awareness and mindfulness of food choices, basic nutrition education, portion control, exercise, and self-motivation [35]. Other facilitators from a study focusing on African American adults included creating specific goals and strategies, offering a program with access to healthy foods, expanding community resources, and streamlining healthcare services [36].

While barriers and facilitators are obviously important to patients, they have yet to be actively integrated into a weight loss/management program and subsequently tested for efficacy with other programs. Furthermore, patients have not been queried about the structure of a weight management program for delivery in a community pharmacy setting. According to the Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), research that is driven by the interests and needs of patients is more likely



to be taken up and used by those patients in addressing individual health needs [37]. Therefore, by accounting for patients' needs and wants in the design of a weight management program, patients will ultimately be more likely to engage with the program and successfully manage their weight. As such, the objective of this study was to gather patients' weight management education needs and ideas for the structure of a weight management program to be developed and tested in a community pharmacy setting. The specific aims of the study were: (1) identify all potential aspects of a weight management program that meet the needs of patients; (2) determine the most important aspects for a weight management program; (3) propose potential weight management programs that meet the stated needs of patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This was an exploratory mixed methods study combining qualitative focus group interviews with a cross-sectional quantitative survey each designed to meet the study objective. An exploratory mixed methods design was appropriate to meet the study objective because prior research did not assess patients' needs when developing a weight management program.

2.2. Participants and Recruitment

Focus group interview participants were at least 18 years of age, self-reported to be overweight or have obesity, and expressed interest in a weight management program delivered in community pharmacies. While the researchers acknowledge that weight status perception varies between cultural groups, self-reported interest in participating in a community pharmacy-based weight management program is more important for this phase of the research where advice and structural design ideas are being collected [34].

From December 2018 to January 2019, English-speaking patient participants, from an existing research collaborative focused on diabetes self-management, were recruited. Participants from this collaborative were invited to attend a focus group discussion in their home community and encouraged to bring a friend who was also interested in weight management. The focus group interview sessions included a discussion on the ideal weight management program, refreshments, and a \$25 gift card as compensation for participation.

Participants for the cross-sectional survey were recruited from the same research collaborative as the focus group interview participants, as well as any additional participants brought to the focus group interviews by previous collaborative members. Participants who returned completed surveys received a \$5 gift card as compensation for participation. The University of Mississippi Institutional Review Board approved all procedures prior to participant recruitment and initiation of the focus groups and survey (IRB #: 18x-129).

2.3. Focus Group Data Collection Procedures

The focus group interviews were conducted in each of the three communities participating in the research collaborative. Each focus group interview had at least one trained facilitator and one trained scribe. However, for larger focus groups up to three additional trained scribes were also present. All scribes used a previously developed note-taking template to ensure reliability of notes across individuals. All focus group interviews were iterative, semi-structured, and allowed the facilitator to adapt questions to the participants' perceptions and experiences.

Each focus group interview followed a predetermined question guide adapted from the recommendations of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disorders (NDDKD) website for, "Choosing a Safe and Successful Weight-loss Program" and the Consumer Affairs website, "Best Weight Loss Programs" [38,39]. The question guide began with self-reflective questions regarding immediate changes participants would be willing to make in their lives, followed by questions about



participant knowledge needs, preferred trainings to facilitate weight management, how the program should be structured, and what kinds of built-in accountability mechanisms to include (Appendix A Table A1). The purpose of focus groups interviews was to generate a large and diverse number of responses from participants that would then be narrowed down to the most important aspects to respondents in the subsequent survey. Participants also received a brief handout consisting of exemplar weight management programs containing different program durations, number and length of meetings, modes of delivery, and meeting topic examples to help facilitate the discussion (Appendix A Tables A2 and A3).

2.4. Survey Data Collection Procedures

Following completion and analysis of the focus group interviews, a brief survey was created for member checking or participant validation purposes. Member checking provides an opportunity for participants to check or approve particular aspects of the interpretations from focus group analyses, and explore the credibility of results [40–42]. Thus, the survey was developed as a simple descriptive measure to help the researchers determine if the responses from data analysis were congruent with the participant experiences [42]. The survey concentrated on the most important aspects of a weight management program for study participants based on common themes identified in the focus group interviews. As such, the follow-up survey questions were similar to those used on the focus group guide to prevent misinterpretation by participants. Potential answers for each question were also taken directly from the focus group interview findings to replicate words and phrases used by participants. Questions focused on immediate changes participants would be willing to make, what type of information they would like to receive in a program, and how the program could be structured to best fit their needs. One example of a survey question about immediate change was, "If you were ready to begin a new weight management program tomorrow what is one eating habit you are most likely to change?" Potential responses to this questions included decreasing the amount of carbs, sugar, and sweets; limiting soda or sugary drinks; decreasing the amount of fast food or fried food; or establishing a routine for eating at regular times, all of which were comments that came from the focus group interviews.

Surveys were mailed to all eligible participants for completion, along with a self-addressed and stamped envelope for participants to return the completed surveys. Two weeks after administration of the survey, all participants who had not completed the mailed survey received a follow-up phone call to allow for completion of the survey by phone.

2.5. Analyses

Using the notes taken during each of the focus group interviews, two members of the research team completed independent qualitative content analyses to identify core consistencies across all focus group interviews. In particular, through a continuous and iterative review process for each set of notes, the two research team members identified ideas and comments that were present throughout each focus group interview to develop common codes about important topics and structural aspects of the weight management program. Once the research team members completed the individual analyses, the entire research team met to discuss the individual findings with the objective of creating a common code list. Final codes for analysis provided the following classification system: current weight management knowledge, dietary and physical activity needs, and program structure. After the common code list was determined, the two team members revisited all of the notes to reapply the codes and begin developing themes to establish the most important patient participant recommendations. The full research team then met one more time to discuss the identified themes that subsequently became the responses to include in the follow-up survey.

This series of meetings represents the triangulation process of qualitative data analysis and helped to ensure the credibility of the findings [43]. The careful and systematic completion of the individual and group level analyses and coding processes complies not only with the general qualitative methods



literature, but also with the Journal Article Reporting Standards for Mixed Methods Research Design (JARS-Mixed) guidelines [43,44].

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze all survey responses using SPSS Statistics version 25. Frequency analyses were utilized to determine the most important structural components for integration into the weight management program.

3. Results

A total of 61 individuals participated in the focus group interviews; 52 individuals were recruited directly from the research collaborative, with another nine individuals being guests of collaborative members. The survey was sent to the original 74 research collaborative participants in addition to the nine new members who came to the focus group interviews with a friend. A total of 37 completed surveys was returned for a response rate of approximately 45%.

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of individuals who participated in both the focus group interviews and completed the follow-up survey. Results are reported as mean scores with standard deviation or percentages. The majority of participants were female (84%) and African-American (92%). The mean age of participants was 56.6 years (SD \pm 15.3). More than half of participants (62.3%) had been diagnosed with diabetes and most participants diagnosed with diabetes had been living with the disease for ten years or less (71.1%; mean years 10.6 \pm 10.1). The number of years living with diabetes ranged from 6 months to 43 years, resulting in the average number of years for the group to be 10.6 years (SD \pm 10.1). Eight participants had been told they had pre-diabetes and on average had been living with pre-diabetes for 1.44 years (SD \pm 0.82).

Gender	N (%)
Women	51 (83.6)
Men	10 (16.4)
Race	
White	6 (9.8)
African-American	55 (90.2)
Age (years)	
Mean <u>+</u> SD	56.6 ± 15.3
Range	18-88
Years with Diabetes $(n = 38)$	
Mean ± SD	10.6 ± 10.1
Range	0.5–43
Years with Pre-Diabetes $(n = 8)$	
Mean ± SD	1.44 ± 0.82
Range	0.5–3

Table 1. Participant Demographics (N = 61).

As mentioned in the methods section, responses from the focus group interviews were used to develop the follow-up survey. Thus, the remainder of this section will focus on the results gathered from the follow-up survey, which focused on participant self-reflection, components of a weight management program, and how to structure a weight management program. Questions and the corresponding participant responses from the follow-up survey are presented in Appendix A (Table A4).

For the self-reflection questions, nearly half of participants (48.6%) identified noting physical changes, such as clothes not fitting or having low energy, as key motivators for setting and completing weight loss goals. Recommendations from their doctor was also another common motivator for setting and maintaining weight loss goals among participants. The majority of participants (75.7%) felt that they would most likely be able to decrease their daily intake of both carbohydrates and sugar from



food or drinks if they were beginning a weight management program. Most participants (45.9%) also identified walking or running as the most likely physical activities they would be willing to start if they began a weight management program. Attending group fitness classes or completing modified exercises at home (both 18.9%) were the second most likely physical activities for participants to start. The final self-reflection question asked patients to identify whom they would like to set program goals with, and 45.9% of participants identified that they would want help from family and/or friends.

Questions focused on participants' diet knowledge needs, found that most respondents wanted knowledge about how to limit their carbohydrate and sugar intake (45.9%). Participants also identified how to read ingredient labels, determine portion sizes, and finding low-sugar, low-carb, and low-sodium substitutes. For knowledge about physical activity, participants were most interested in identifying different exercises that were appropriate for those with physical limitations (48.6%), which was followed by understanding what amount of physical activity is required (e.g., number of repetitions, sets, miles, days per week) (24.3%) in order to see results. Additional knowledge areas identified by participants were how to set achievable goals and remain motivated to reach those goals, along with how to effectively manage diabetes and weight during parties or around the holidays.

Moving onto questions about the structure of the weight management program, responses about the overall length of the program and frequency of meetings were somewhat evenly distributed among participants. Three months was the most common response for the length of a program with 32.4% of responses followed by twelve months (24.3%), six months (18.9%), and then twenty-four months (16.2%). Twice per month was the most commonly selected meeting frequency at 27%, with once per month and once per week following closely behind at 24.3% and 21.6%, respectively. In person meetings were the most frequently selected for meeting type (48.6%) followed by a mix of in person and phone call meetings. Most participants preferred 1 h meetings (70.3%) alternating between one-on-one and group meeting formats (67.6%). The final question on the survey asked participants about accountability throughout the program. Nearly half of respondents (48.6%) stated they would like support from a healthcare professional to help them succeed in a weight management program. The second most common answer was having a partner throughout the program to hold them accountable for reaching their goals.

4. Discussion

According to the responses of participants in this mixed-methods study to develop a patientcentered weight management program, the ideal program would take place over a three-month period with meetings occurring twice per month. Most participants preferred that all meetings take place in-person, with a mixture of group and individual meetings lasting approximately one hour each. The meetings should be led by a healthcare professional focusing on diet, exercise, and goal setting. Specific topics to be covered include how to limit sugar, carbohydrates, and sweets; how to find appropriate exercise routines based on individual physical limitations; and how to set goals that are achievable and remain motivated to reach those goals. The topics for each of the remaining meetings would be flexible and chosen based on specific individual or group needs within that community pharmacy population.

It is also important to note that while the above stated aspects of the ideal weight management program were preferred by most of the participants, there was not a clear majority preference for most questions. As such, three alternative community pharmacy-based weight management programs were proposed based on the findings (Table 2). Each of the programs varies in program length, and frequency of session meetings. The required number of topics for each program also varies as the number of potential meeting sessions increased based on the length of the program. The most common participant responses for diet and exercise topics are included in all potential programs. Additional topics could be added based on the next highest response from the patient survey. Any remaining session topics could be selected based on the individual or community need.



Duration of program	3 months	6 months	12 months
Number of meetings	12	12	18
Type of Meeting	6 in-person group meetings; 6 individual meetings	6 in-person group meetings; 6 individual meetings	9 in-person group meetings; 9 individual meetings
Length of meetings	1 h	1 h	1 h
Frequency of meetings	Every week	Every two weeks	Every two weeks for the first 6 months then monthly for the last 6 months
Mode of information delivery	Meetings with health professional; Presentation and handouts provided at meetings	Meetings with health professional; Presentation and handouts provided at meetings	Meetings with health professional; Presentation and handouts provided at meetings
Meeting discussion topics	Required topics: Introduction and baseline assessment; how to limit sweets, sugars, and carbs; understanding food labels and portion control; surviving holidays and parties; how to find low carb, sugar, and salt alternatives; appropriate exercises based on personal limitations; how to set goals and remain motivated; develop maintenance plan and goals for future Additional discussion topics based on community needs: Medication therapy management; calorie balance; understanding carbs, fats, and proteins; recognizing patterns and cues; overcoming barriers and preventing relapse; supermarket survival (grocery shopping)	Required topics: Introduction and baseline assessment; how to limit sweets, sugars, and carbs; understanding food labels and portion control; surviving holidays and parties; how to find low carb, sugar, and salt alternatives; appropriate exercises based on personal limitations; how to set goals and remain motivated; develop maintenance plan and goals for future Additional discussion topics based on community needs: Medication therapy management; calorie balance; understanding carbs, fats, and proteins; recognizing patterns and cues; overcoming barriers and preventing relapse; supermarket survival (grocery shopping)	Required topics: Introduction and baseline assessment; how to limit sweets, sugars, and carbs; understanding food labels and portion control; surviving holidays and parties; how to find low carb, sugar, and salt alternatives; appropriate exercises based on personal limitations; how to set goals and remain motivated; develop maintenance plan and goals for future. Additional discussion topics based on community needs: Medication therapy management; calorie balance; understanding carbs, fats, and proteins; recognizing patterns and cues; overcoming barriers and preventing relapse; supermarket survival (grocery shopping)
Follow-up period	24 months	24 months	24 months
	Each meeting : weight, success of weight loss and behavioral goals related to previous sessions.	Each meeting : weight, success of weight loss and behavioral goals related to previous sessions	Each meeting: weight, success of weight loss and behavioral goals related to previous sessions
Outcomes and results tracking	Overall (beginning and end of program; 24-month follow-up) : weight, BMI, waist circumference, percent body fat, percent visceral fat, and percent muscle mass, program satisfaction, change in knowledge	Overall (beginning and end of program; 24-month follow-up): weight, BMI, waist circumference, percent body fat, percent visceral fat, and percent muscle mass, program satisfaction, change in knowledge	Overall (beginning and end of program; 24-month follow-up) : weight, BMI, waist circumference, percent body fat, percent visceral fat, and percent muscle mass, program satisfaction, change in knowledge

Table 2. Examples of Patient-Informed Community Pharmacy-Based Weight Management Program Structures.



this survey wanted the program to involve family and/or friends in the goal setting process. The Self-Determination Theory suggests that autonomy support when setting and achieving goals can help establish the context for the development of self-directed, personally meaningful choices and cultivate autonomous self-regulation [45]. Additionally, autonomy support, through mechanisms such as family and/or friends has been shown to facilitate weight loss progress [46]. Autonomy support can also be provided by acknowledging an individual's perspective, refraining from excessive control and pressure, providing choices and options, and providing informational positive feedback [46].

holidays, to reach those goals [33]. Social support and accountability were also barriers identified in previous literature that were present in our results [35]. In particular, nearly half of the respondents in

Along with the identification of similar barriers to weight management, many of the topics identified by patients for the proposed weight management programs aligned with the curriculum of previously successful programs like the Diabetes Prevention (DPP) and PreventT2 (PT2) programs, which was created from the DPP curriculum [9,47]. For example, participants highlighted food specific topics such as reading food labels, controlling portion sizes, balancing caloric intake of sugar or carbohydrates, and staying on track during holidays or social events were areas of particular interest to patients on the survey. These topics align with the following modules from the DPP and PT2P: Three Ways to Eat Less Fat and Fewer Calories; Healthy Eating; Four Keys to Healthy Eating Out; Handling Holidays, Vacations, and Special Events; Eat Well to Prevent T2; Track Your Food; Eat Well Away from Home; More About Carbs [9,47].

Ultimately, the specific content findings both with respect to barriers faced by patients, and their knowledge needs, are not unique. However, the attention paid to the structure of a weight management program to be delivered in a community pharmacy setting and the findings gathered therein are unique. This combination of familiar and novel findings is beneficial in so far as the proposed weight management program structures can rely upon the evidence-base programs that have been previously developed, while adapting to the needs of patients [9]. Prior to implementation of any proposed program, educational materials should be compiled from current weight-management programs and training about program administration should be provided. Material from current programs is developed in a manner that allows any healthcare professional to lead the program. However, pharmacists may need additional training in nutrition and exercise topics. Collaboration with a nutritionist may also be beneficial to ensure an evidence-based approach is taken. The creation of a program that can be implemented in a community pharmacy also provides more opportunities to engage patients, especially in rural areas where other health services are often limited [16,17].

There are some limitations in this study that should be considered. To begin the study participants were drawn from a population of people participating in a previously established research collaborative for those who have diabetes, pre-diabetes, or are family members of people who have diabetes. However, given the objective of this study was to identify characteristics for the development of a future patient-centered weight management program and not to generalize the findings to the population, the impact of this limitation is minimal. Participants were also provided a handout of exemplar programs that were not previously associated with a pharmacy setting. This may have biased participant responses to align with the aspects of these previously established programs instead of truly identifying components that were important to participants or feasible in a pharmacy setting. Given the authors' previous interactions with patient participants in this setting, providing an exemplar program was important in ensuring participants would have a foundation upon which to base their comments. Additional work is required to ensure that any program is feasible within the community pharmacy setting. Finally, the number of participants involved in this project may be considered too



9 of 14

small. However, given the mixed methods design, and the level of detail expected through the design of both the focus group and survey questions, a larger sample size would have made identifying patterns in the data difficult. Furthermore, the mixed methods approach allowed the research team to narrow down findings from the focus group interviews with participants, providing an additional layer of validity.

Developing a weight management program that accounts for and integrates the values, needs, and desires of patients in achieving healthcare goals will be more meaningful to them, and thereafter more likely to be used [48]. By accounting for patients' needs and wants in the design of a program, patients will be more likely to engage with all aspects of the program and ultimately more successful in weight management. The next phase of this project must be to interact with community pharmacists to determine their perspective on the structure and design of a weight management program to be delivered in their setting. Even if the needs of patients are completely met, failing to account for the needs of community pharmacists, the healthcare provider designated to deliver these services, will still result in the failure of the program.

5. Conclusions

Gathering patients' perspectives on the structure and components of a weight management program is important for developing a program in which patients are willing to participate. The results from the study suggest this patient population would prefer a three-month program with a combination of group and individual in-person meetings occurring twice per month. The meetings should last no longer than one hour and be led by a healthcare professional focusing on areas of diet, exercise, and goal setting. The structure of a weight management program for delivery in a community pharmacy has not been previously examined from the patients' perspectives. As such, these results offer a unique insight into an ideal patient-centered weight management program. As obesity continues to affect millions of individuals in the United States and worldwide, the development of weight management programs that account for patient perspectives is vital to improve engagement and ultimate patient success.

Author Contributions: Study conceptualization and methodology, M.R. and E.H.; participant recruitment, A.A.; focus group moderator, M.R.; survey development, A.A., M.R., E.H.; survey review and editing, M.R. and E.H.; formal analysis, M.R., E.H., and A.A.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A.; writing—review and editing, M.R. and E.H.; funding acquisition, M.R.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Institute of General Medicinal Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number 1U54GM115428. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to recognize Shelby Strength for her contribution to survey development and data analysis. The authors would also like to acknowledge and thank the PaRTICIpate in Diabetes Self-Management Research Collaborative for their participation in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Appendix A

المنارات

Theme	Questions
Self-reflection	 If you were ready to begin a new weight management plan tomorrow what is ONE eating habit you would be willing to change? If you were ready to begin a new weight management plan tomorrow what is ONE physical activity you could integrate into your routine? How do you prefer to set goals? Who do you want to help you with the goal setting process? What motivates you to set weight loss goals and stick to them?

Table A1. Focus group question guide.

Table A1. Cont.

Theme	Questions
Knowledge	 What are the questions you have about your diet intake? What are the questions you have about your daily physical activity? What other weight management information would you be interested in learning about?
Components	 What information about successful weight management do you need? What kinds of tips and tricks would help you stick with new weight management program? (ex. Patient-provider agreement, food journals, activity journals)
Structure	 What length of a program would you be willing to sign up for? How many meetings would you be willing to have? How many of those meetings would you have in person? Would you rather have one-on-one or group sessions? How many of those meetings would you like to have remotely? How long would you like meetings to be? How do you want to transition into weight maintenance? (Repeat sub-questions above plus the following): When would you expect to transition to weight maintenance? What kind of follow-up do you want now?
Accountability	 How can you be helped to be accountable? Weekly follow-ups? Monthly weigh-ins? On-line groups? All of these ways?

Table A2. Focus group participant handout (side 1).

Program Title	CMS Behavioral Therapy for Obesity
Duration	6 months
Goal	5% weight loss
Number of meetings	14 individual sessions
Length of meetings	First meeting—1 h Remaining sessions—15 min
Frequency of meetings	Every week for 1 month Every two weeks for 5 months
Mode of Information delivery	 One on one discussion with a doctor/nurse/pharmacist Interactive PowerPoint presentations Topic-specific handouts
Discussion in meetings	Meeting 1: Intro and baseline assessment Meeting 2: Medication therapy management Meeting 3: Calorie balance Meeting 4: Food labels Meeting 5: Exercise Meeting 6: Surviving holidays Meeting 7: Portion control Meeting 8: Carbohydrates Meeting 9: Fats and proteins Meeting 10: Patterns and cues Meeting 11: Overcoming barriers Meeting 12: Supermarket survival (grocery shopping) Meeting 13: Preventing relapse Meetings 14: Conclusion, review of results, develop maintenance plan and goals for future
How results are tracked	Each meeting—weight, success of weight loss and behavioral goals related to previous sessions Overall—weight, height, BMI, waist circumference, percent body fat, percent visceral fat, and percent muscle mass



11	of	14

Potential Topics that Can be Discussed at Meetings			
Diet	Physical Activity	Behavior and Emotions	
 Determining portion sizes Eating less high fat and high sugar food Eating more fruits and veggies Creating a balanced diet Understanding each part of balanced diet (fats, protein, carbs) Carb counting Reading food labels How to grocery shop How to cook healthier meals Surviving holidays and large group events How to be healthy when eating at restaurants Preventing breaks from healthy eating How to get back on track with diet after taking a break Understanding different triggers that cause people to eat 	 How to increase daily activity How to decrease lazy habits Development of exercise plans Learning new ways for physical activity and exercise 	 How to create goals How to create plans to rayour goals How to track you progratoward goals How to deal with emotion and stress eating How to change negative thoughts Motivational tips and triational tips and triation of the track of the	each ess onal icks ation k er

Table A3. Focus group participant handout (side 2).

Table A4. Follow-up survey frequency of responses.

Question	Frequency (%)	
SELF-REFLECTION		
Which of the following motivates you to set weight loss goals and stick to them?		
Recommendations from my doctor	12 (32.4)	
Influences from social media and television	1 (2.7)	
Noticing physical changes; like clothes being too tight or having low energy	18 (48.6)	
I don't have any motivators. I just do it.	4 (10.8)	
Establishing a routine for eating at consistent times	3 (8.1)	
If you were ready to begin a new weight management program tomorrow what is one physical acti most likely to start?	ivity you would be	
Walking or running	17 (45.9)	
Weight training	4 (10.8)	
Playing a sport regularly (basketball, tennis, pickle ball)	1 (2.7)	
Attending group classes (yoga, Zumba)	7 (18.9)	
Doing modified exercises at home (chair aerobics, bed aerobics)	7 (18.9)	
Who would you most want to help you with the goal setting process?	, , ,	
Pharmacist	4 (10.8)	
Doctor	10 (27.0)	
Friends and/or family	17 (45.9)	
I prefer to set goals on my own	6 (16.2)	
KNOWLEDGE		
What dieting and food topics would you be most interested in learning about?		
How to limit sweets, sugar, and carbs?	17 (45.9)	
How to find low carb, low sodium, and low sugar substitutes?	8 (21.6)	
How to find high protein options (meat and non-meat)?	3 (8.1)	
How to read ingredient labels and determine portion size?	9 (24.3)	
What physical activity and exercise topics would you be most interested in learning about?		
Understanding where to start and what should be my first step	4 (10.8)	
Knowing where I can find new exercises and exercise plans to follow	5 (13.5)	
Knowing what exercises are appropriate for me if I have physical limitations	18 (48.6)	
Knowing what is required of me to see results (reps, sets, miles, days/week, etc.)	9 (24.3)	
What other weight management information would you be interested in learning about?		
How to grocery shop?	2 (5.4)	
How to overcome barriers and get back on track after a relapse?	5 (13.5)	
How to set goals that are achievable for me and keep motivated to reach those goals?	16 (43.2)	
How to manage my diabetes during parties and around the holidays?	12 (32.4)	



Table A4. (Cont.
-------------	-------

STRUCTURE

What is the maximum amount of time you would be willing to attend a weight management program?		
3 months	12 (32.4)	
6 months	7 (18.9)	
12 months	9 (24.3)	
24 months	6 (16.2)	
How often would you be willing to meet for a weight management program?		
Once a week	8 (21.6)	
One time a month	9 (24.3)	
Two times a month	10 (27.0)	
Meet more often in the beginning of the program and decrease how often as the program continues	7 (18.9)	
How would you most prefer to have program meetings occur?		
Only in person meetings	18 (48.6)	
Only telephone calls	0 (0.0)	
Some in person and some phone calls	15 (40.5)	
Would you rather have one-on-one or group sessions during the program?		
Only group meetings	7 (18.9)	
Only one-on-one meetings	1 (2.7)	
Some group AND one-on-one meetings	25 (67.6)	
How long would you be willing to meet for a weight management program?		
30 min	8 (21.6)	
1 h	26 (70.3)	
More than 1 h	2 (5.4)	
ACCOUNTABILITY		
What types of support would help you succeed in a weight management program?		
Having a partner or accountability buddy	12 (32.4)	
Meeting with a health professional to guide you through the program	18 (48.6)	
Keeping a food journal	2 (5.4)	
Keeping an activity journal	5 (13.5)	

References

- Di Cesare, M.; Bentham, J.; Stevens, G.A.; Zhou, B.; Danaei, G.; Lu, Y.; Bixby, H.; Cowan, M.J.; Riley, L.M.; Paciorek, C.J.; et al. Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: A pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19.2 million participants. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1377–1396.
- 2. Obesity and Overweight. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 3. Overweight & Obesity Statistics. Available online: https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/health-statistics/overweight-obesity (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 4. Leading Causes of Death. 2016. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/leading-causes-of-death. htm (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 5. Diabetes in the United States. 2017. Available online: https://www.stateofobesity.org/diabetes/ (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- Ogden, C.L.; Carroll, M.D.; Fryar, C.D.; Flegal, K.M. Prevalence of Obesity among Adults and Youth: United States, 2011–2014; NCHS data brief, no 219; National Center for Health Statistics: Hyattsville, MD, USA, 2015; p. 8.
- 7. State and County Quick Facts. 2015. Available online: http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/28000.html (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 8. Eckel, R.H.; Jakicic, J.M.; Ard, J.D.; de Jesus, J.M.; Houston Miller, N.; Hubbard, V.S.; Lee, I.-M.; Lichtenstein, A.H.; Loria, C.M.; Millen, B.E.; et al. 2013 AHA/ACC Guideline on Lifestyle Management to Reduce Cardiovascular Risk. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2014**, *63*, 2960–2984. [CrossRef]
- 9. Bray, G.A.; Polonsky, K.S.; Watson, P.G.; Goldberg, R.B.; Haffner, S.M.; Hamman, R.F.; Horton, E.S.; Kahn, S.E.; Kitabchi, A.E.; Metzger, B.E.; et al. The Diabetes Prevention Program. Design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **1999**, *22*, 623–634.



- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group; Knowler, W.C.; Fowler, S.E.; Hamman, R.F.; Christophi, C.A.; Hoffman, H.J.; Brenneman, A.T.; Brown-Friday, J.O.; Goldberg, R.; Venditti, E.; et al. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet* 2009, 374, 1677–1686. [CrossRef]
- 11. Weight Management. Available online: https://www.bmc.org/nutrition-and-weight-management/weight-management (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- Barte, J.C.M.; Ter Bogt, N.C.W.; Bogers, R.P.; Teixeira, P.J.; Blissmer, B.; Mori, T.A.; Bemelmans, W.J.E. Maintenance of weight loss after lifestyle interventions for overweight and obesity, a systematic review: Maintenance of weight loss. *Obes. Rev.* 2010, *11*, 899–906. [CrossRef]
- 13. Johansson, K.; Neovius, M.; Hemmingsson, E. Effects of anti-obesity drugs, diet and exercise on weight-loss maintenance after a very-low-calorie diet or low-calorie diet: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *99*, 14–23. [CrossRef]
- 14. Dombrowski, S.U.; Knittle, K.; Avenell, A.; Araujo-Soares, V.; Sniehotta, F.F. Long term maintenance of weight loss with non-surgical interventions in obese adults: Systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* **2014**, *348*, g2646. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kraschnewski, J.L.; Sciamanna, C.N.; Stuckey, H.L.; Chuang, C.H.; Lehman, E.B.; Hwang, K.O.; Sherwood, L.L.; Nembhard, H.B. A Silent Response to the Obesity Epidemic: Decline in US Physician Weight Counseling. *Med. Care* **2013**, *51*, 186–192. [CrossRef]
- 16. List of Rural Counties and Designated Eligible Census Tracts in Metropolitan Counties. Available online: https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/ruralhealth/resources/forhpeligibleareas.pdf (accessed on 2 December 2019).
- 17. Shortage Areas. Available online: https://data.hrsa.gov/ (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 18. Riffkin, R. Americans Rate Nurses Highest on Honesty, Ethical Standards. Available online: https://news.gallup. com/poll/180260/americans-rate-nurses-highest-honesty-ethical-standards.aspx (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- Norton, J. A Leader in The Value-Based Pharmacy Movement—Part One. Available online: https://www.ncpanet.org/newsroom/ ncpa\T1\textquoterights-blog----the-dose/2016/05/17/a-leader-in-the-value-based-pharmacy-movement----part-one (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 20. Rural Pharmacy and Prescription Drugs. Available online: https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/topics/pharmacyand-prescription-drugs (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 21. Fakih, S.; Marriott, J.L.; Hussainy, S.Y. Employing the nominal group technique to explore the views of pharmacists, pharmacy assistants and women on community pharmacy weight management services and educational resources: Developing weight management educational resources. *Int. J. Pharm. Pract.* **2016**, *24*, 86–96. [CrossRef]
- 22. O'Neal, K.S.; Crosby, K.M. Patients' perceptions of a pharmacist-managed weight management clinic in a community setting. *Res. Soc. Adm. Pharm.* **2013**, *9*, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- 23. Jordan, M.; Harmon, J. Pharmacist interventions for obesity: Improving treatment adherence and patient outcomes. *Integr. Pharm. Res. pract.* **2015**, *4*, 79. [CrossRef]
- 24. Boardman, H.F.; Avery, A.J. Effectiveness of a community pharmacy weight management programme. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2014**, *36*, 800–806. [CrossRef]
- 25. Bush, J.; Langley, C.; Mills, S.; Hindle, L. A comparison of the provision of the My Choice Weight Management Programme via general practitioner practices and community pharmacies in the United Kingdom: The My Choice Weight Management Programme. *Clin. Obes.* **2014**, *4*, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- 26. Jolly, K.; Lewis, A.; Beach, J.; Denley, J.; Adab, P.; Deeks, J.J.; Daley, A.; Aveyard, P. Comparison of range of commercial or primary care led weight reduction programmes with minimal intervention control for weight loss in obesity: Lighten Up randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **2011**, *343*, d6500. [CrossRef]
- 27. Kellow, N. Evaluation of a rural community pharmacy-based Waist Management Project: Bringing the program to the people. *Aust. J. Prim. Health* **2011**, *17*, 16. [CrossRef]
- 28. Morrison, D.; McLoone, P.; Brosnahan, N.; McCombie, L.; Smith, A.; Gordon, J. A community pharmacy weight management programme: An evaluation of effectiveness. *BMC Public Health* **2013**, *13*, 282. [CrossRef]
- 29. Phimarn, W.; Pianchana, P.; Limpikanchakovit, P.; Suranart, K.; Supapanichsakul, S.; Narkgoen, A.; Saramunee, K. Thai community pharmacist involvement in weight management in primary care to improve patient's outcomes. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2013**, *35*, 1208–1217. [CrossRef]



- 30. Um, I.S.; Krass, I.; Armour, C.; Gill, T.; Chaar, B.B. Developing and testing evidence-based weight management in Australian pharmacies: A Healthier Life Program. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2015**, *37*, 822–833. [CrossRef]
- 31. Wollner, S.; Blackburn, D.; Spellman, K.; Khaodhiar, L.; Blackburn, G.L. Weight-Loss Programs in Convenient Care Clinics: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Am. J. Health Promot.* **2010**, *25*, 26–29. [CrossRef]
- 32. Harmon, M.; Pogge, E.; Boomershine, V. Evaluation of a pharmacist-led, 6-month weight loss program in obese patients. *J. Am. Pharm. Assoc.* 2014, *54*, 302–307. [CrossRef]
- 33. Sharifi, N.; Mahdavi, R.; Ebrahimi-Mameghani, M. Perceived Barriers to Weight loss Programs for Overweight or Ob-ese Women. *Health Promot. Perspect.* **2013**, *3*, 11–22.
- 34. Lynch, C.S.; Chang, J.C.; Ford, A.F.; Ibrahim, S.A. Obese African-American Women's Perspectives on Weight Loss and Bariatric Surgery. *J. Gen. Intern. Med.* **2007**, *22*, 908–914. [CrossRef]
- 35. Metzgar, C.J.; Preston, A.G.; Miller, D.L.; Nickols-Richardson, S.M. Facilitators and barriers to weight loss and weight loss maintenance: A qualitative exploration. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* **2015**, *28*, 593–603. [CrossRef]
- Coe, W.H.; Redmond, L.; Parisi, J.M.; Bowie, J.V.; Liu, E.Y.; Ng, T.Y.; Onyuka, A.M.A.; Cort, M.; Cheskin, L.J. Motivators, Barriers and Facilitators to Weight Loss and Behavior Change Among African American Adults in Baltimore City: A Qualitative Analysis. *J. Natl. Med. Assoc.* 2017, *109*, 79–85. [CrossRef]
- 37. Frank, L.; Basch, E.; Selby, J.V. The PCORI Perspective on Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. *JAMA* 2014, 312, 1513. [CrossRef]
- 38. Choosing a Safe and Successful Weight-Loss Program. Available online: https://www.niddk.nih.gov/healthinformation/weight-management/choosing-a-safe-successful-weight-loss-program (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 39. Best Weight Loss Programs. Available online: https://www.consumeraffairs.com/nutrition/nutrition.htm (accessed on 3 October 2017).
- 40. Doyle, S. Member Checking With Older Women: A Framework for Negotiating Meaning. *Health Care Women Int.* **2007**, *28*, 888–908. [CrossRef]
- 41. Curtin, M.; Fossey, E. Appraising the trustworthiness of qualitative studies: Guidelines for occupational therapists. *Aust. Occ. Ther. J.* **2007**, *54*, 88–94. [CrossRef]
- 42. Merriam, S.B.; Merriam, S.B. *Qualitative Research and Case Study Applications in Education*, 2nd ed.; Jossey-Bass Publishers: San Francisco, CA, USA, 1998; ISBN 978-0-7879-1009-9.
- 43. Patton, M.Q. *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods: Integrating Theory and Practice*, 4th ed.; SAGE Publications, Inc.: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 2015; ISBN 978-1-4129-7212-3.
- 44. Levitt, H.M.; Bamberg, M.; Creswell, J.W.; Frost, D.M.; Josselson, R.; Suárez-Orozco, C. Journal article reporting standards for qualitative primary, qualitative meta-analytic, and mixed methods research in psychology: The APA Publications and Communications Board task force report. *Am. Psychol.* **2018**, *73*, 26–46. [CrossRef]
- 45. Deci, E.L.; Eghrari, H.; Patrick, B.C.; Leone, D.R. Facilitating Internalization: The Self-Determination Theory Perspective. J. Pers. 1994, 62, 119–142. [CrossRef]
- 46. Gorin, A.A.; Powers, T.A.; Koestner, R.; Wing, R.R.; Raynor, H.A. Autonomy support, self-regulation and weight loss. *Health Psychol.* **2014**, *33*, 332–339. [CrossRef]
- 47. Curricula and Handouts. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/prevention/resources/curriculum. html (accessed on 15 May 2019).
- 48. Constand, M.K.; MacDermid, J.C.; Dal Bello-Haas, V.; Law, M. Scoping review of patient-centered care approaches in healthcare. *BMC Health Serv. Res.* **2014**, *14*, 271. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



© 2019. This work is licensed under

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/ (the "License"). Notwithstanding the ProQuest Terms and Conditions, you may use this content in accordance with the terms of the License.

